

# Rewriting Systems and Geometric 3-Manifolds

*Susan Hermiller and Michael Shapiro*

---

**Abstract:** The fundamental groups of most (conjecturally, all) closed 3-manifolds with uniform geometries have finite complete rewriting systems. The fundamental groups of a large class of amalgams of circle bundles also have finite complete rewriting systems. The general case remains open.

## §1. Introduction

In this paper we point out that well-known properties of finite complete rewriting systems and well-known facts about geometric 3-manifolds combine to give the following. (See below for definitions.)

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a closed 3-manifold bearing one of Thurston's eight geometries. Suppose further that if  $M$  is hyperbolic, that  $M$  virtually fibers over a circle. Then  $\pi_1(M)$  has a finite complete rewriting system.*

According to a conjecture of Thurston ([Th], question 18), every closed hyperbolic 3-manifold obeys the last hypothesis.

We also exhibit a class of non-uniform geometric 3-manifolds whose fundamental groups have finite complete rewriting systems. In particular, suppose that  $M$  is a graph of circle bundles based on a graph  $\Gamma$ . We will call an edge of this graph a **loop** if it has the same initial and terminal vertex. We suppose that when all loops are removed, the resulting graph is a tree. Under certain conditions on the way the vertex manifolds are glued along their boundary tori, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  has a finite complete rewriting system.

---

AMS Classifications 20F32, 68Q42, 57M05.

The first author wishes to thank the National Science Foundation for partial support from grant DMS-923088.

The second author wishes to thank the Australian Research Council.

## §2. Proof of Theorem 1

We review the appropriate background and definitions.

Let  $G$  be a group with finite generating set  $A$ . We write  $A^*$  for the free monoid on  $A$ . Each element of  $A$  evaluates into  $G$  under the identity map and this extends to a unique monoid homomorphism of  $A^*$  onto  $G$  which we denote by  $w \mapsto \bar{w}$ .

A **rewriting system**  $R$  over the set  $A$  is a subset of  $A^* \times A^*$ . We write a pair  $(u, v) \in R$  as  $u \rightarrow v$  and call this a **rewriting rule** or *replacement rule*. If  $u \rightarrow v$  is a rewriting rule, then for any  $xuy \in A^*$ , we write  $xuy \rightarrow xvy$ .

We say a finite set  $R = \{u_i \rightarrow v_i\}$  is a **finite complete rewriting system** for  $(G, A)$  if

- 1) The monoid presentation  $\langle A \mid u_i = v_i \rangle$  is a presentation of the underlying monoid of  $G$ ,
- 2) For each element  $g \in G$  there is exactly one word  $w \in A^*$  so that  $g = \bar{w}$  and  $w$  contains no  $u_i$  as a substring (that is,  $w$  is *irreducible*), and
- 3) There is no word  $w_0 \in A^*$  spawning an infinite sequence of rewritings,  $w_0 \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow w_2 \rightarrow \dots$ . Such a system is called **Noetherian**.

We will say that  $G$  **has a finite complete rewriting system** if there is a generating set  $A$  for which there is a finite complete rewriting system for  $(G, A)$ .

We will need the following facts about finite complete rewriting systems.

**Proposition 0.** *The trivial group has a finite complete rewriting system.*

**Proposition 1.**  *$\mathbb{Z}$  has a finite complete rewriting system.*

**Proposition 2 [Hr],[LC].** *If  $G$  is a surface group, then  $G$  has a finite complete rewriting system.*

**Proposition 3 [GS2].** *If  $H$  is finite index in  $G$  and  $H$  has a finite complete rewriting system, then  $G$  has a finite complete rewriting system.*

**Proposition 4 [GS1].** *If  $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$  is a short exact sequence, and  $K$  and  $Q$  have finite complete rewriting systems, then  $G$  has a finite complete rewriting system.*

A thorough account of Thurston's eight geometries is given in [Sc]. If  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold, then the Riemannian metric on  $M$  lifts to a Riemannian metric on the universal cover,  $\widetilde{M}$ . Suppose now that  $M$  is a closed 3-manifold with a uniform Riemannian metric. (This means that the isometry group of  $\widetilde{M}$  acts transitively.) Thurston has shown that up to scaling, there are only eight possibilities for the Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  and that  $\pi_1(M)$  is constrained in the following way. (We say that  $G$  is **virtually**  $H$  if  $G$  contains a finite index copy of  $H$ .)

**Proposition 5.** *Suppose  $M$  is a closed Riemannian 3-manifold with a uniform metric. Then one of the following holds:*

- 1)  $\widetilde{M}$  is the 3-sphere and  $\pi_1(M)$  is finite, i.e., virtually trivial.
- 2)  $\widetilde{M}$  is Euclidean 3-space and  $\pi_1(M)$  is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ .
- 3)  $\widetilde{M}$  is  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- 4)  $\widetilde{M}$  is  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  is virtually  $H \times \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $H$  is the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic surface.
- 5)  $\widetilde{M}$  is Nil, the Lie group consisting of upper triangular real  $3 \times 3$  matrices with one's on the diagonal, and  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a finite index subgroup  $G$  which sits in the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow 1$ .
- 6)  $\widetilde{M}$  is  $\widetilde{\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$ , the universal cover of the unit tangent bundle of the hyperbolic plane, and  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a finite index subgroup  $G$  which sits in the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ , where  $H$  is the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic surface.
- 7)  $\widetilde{M}$  is Sol, a Lie group which is a semi-direct product of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\pi_1(M)$  has a finite index group  $G$  which sits in the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$ .
- 8)  $\widetilde{M}$  is hyperbolic space. Under the further assumption that  $M$  virtually fibers over a circle,  $\pi_1(M)$  has a finite index group  $G$  which sits in the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$ , where  $H$  is the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic surface.

The proof of Theorem 1 now consists of applying Propositions 1 – 4 to the cases of Proposition 5.

### §3. Non-uniform geometric 3-manifolds.

For an arbitrary closed 3-manifold  $M$  satisfying Thurston's geometrization conjecture (see [Sc] for details), but not necessarily admitting a uniform Riemannian metric, finding rewriting systems becomes much more complicated. If  $M$  is not orientable, then  $M$  has an orientable double cover; Proposition 3 then says that if the fundamental group of the cover has a finite complete rewriting system, then so does  $M$ . So we may assume  $M$  is orientable.

Any closed orientable 3-manifold  $M$  can be decomposed as a connected sum  $M = M_1 \# M_2 \# \cdots \# M_n$  in which each  $M_i$  is either a closed irreducible 3-manifold, or is homeomorphic to  $S^2 \times S^1$  ([He]). The fundamental group of  $M$ , then, can be written as the free product  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M_1) * \pi_1(M_2) * \cdots * \pi_1(M_n)$ . Another result of [GS1] says that the class of groups with finite complete rewriting systems is closed under free products; therefore, if  $\pi_1(M_i)$  has a finite complete rewriting system for each  $i$ , then so does  $\pi_1(M)$ . So we may assume that our closed orientable 3-manifold is also irreducible.

Results of [JS] and [Jo] state that a closed irreducible 3-manifold  $M$  can also be decomposed in a canonical way. There is a finite graph  $\Gamma$  associated to  $M$ . For each vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$ , there is a compact 3-manifold  $M_v \subset M$ . For each edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  there is an incompressible torus  $T_e^2 \subset M$ . The boundary of

$M_v$  consists of  $\coprod_{v \in \partial e} T_e^2$  and  $M$  is the union along these boundary tori of the pieces  $M_v$ . Consequently, the fundamental group of  $M$  can also be realized as the group of the graph of groups given by placing the fundamental groups of the vertex manifolds at the corresponding vertices of  $\Gamma$ , and the fundamental group of a torus on each edge, together with the appropriate injections.

If  $M$  satisfies Thurston's geometrization conjecture, then the interior of each one of these vertex manifolds admits a uniform Riemannian metric.

The simplest case of this type of decomposition occurs when the graph  $\Gamma$  consists of a single vertex with no edges; this is dealt with in Proposition 5. In the case where  $\Gamma$  has edges, the vertex manifolds are either cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds or Seifert fibered manifolds with boundaries.

We take up the case in which  $M$  is a graph of circle bundles. More specifically, suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a finite graph, and suppose that at any vertex  $v$  the vertex manifold  $M_v$  is a circle bundle over a punctured surface with genus  $g_v$ . Then  $M_v$  will have a torus boundary component for each of the punctures in the base surface; the edges of the graph  $\Gamma$  determine how these boundary components will be glued together.

Recall that a **loop** is an edge with the same initial and terminal vertex. We need to assume that if all of the loops in the graph  $\Gamma$  are removed, the resulting graph is a tree. Then the vertices of  $\Gamma$  can be colored alternately red and blue, so that each edge that is not a loop joins a blue vertex to a red vertex. Orient all of the non-loop edges in  $\Gamma$  by taking the blue vertex to be the initial vertex, so the red vertex is the terminal vertex. Let  $V$  be the set of vertices of  $\Gamma$ , let  $E$  be the set of edges in  $\Gamma$  that are not loops, and let  $L$  be the set of loops in  $\Gamma$ . For each edge  $e \in E$ ,  $\iota(e)$  will denote the initial vertex of  $e$ , and  $\tau(e)$  will denote the terminal vertex; similarly for loops in  $L$ .

The fundamental group of the circle bundle at the vertex  $v$  will be

$$\pi_1(M_v) = \langle a_{v1}, \dots, a_{vg_v}, b_{v1}, \dots, b_{vg_v}, \{p_e \mid e \in E, \iota(e) = v\}, \{q_e \mid e \in E, \tau(e) = v\}, \\ \{r_l, s_l \mid l \in L, \iota(l) = v\} \mid \prod_{\iota(e)=v} p_e \prod_{\tau(e)=v} q_e \prod_{\iota(l)=v} r_l s_l = \prod_{j=1}^{g_v} [a_{vj}, b_{vj}] \rangle \times \langle x_v \rangle.$$

If  $v$  is a blue vertex, then, there will be no generators of the form  $q_e$ , and if  $v$  is a red vertex, there will be no generators of the form  $p_e$  in the presentation for  $\pi_1(M_v)$ .

Suppose the edge  $e \in E$  has initial vertex  $v$  (so  $v$  is blue) and terminal vertex  $w$  (so  $w$  is red). Then the amalgamation along this edge gives relations

$$x_v = q_e^{k_e} x_w^{n_e} \\ p_e = q_e^{k'_e} x_w^{n'_e}$$

where the matrix

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} k_e & n_e \\ k'_e & n'_e \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We are able to find finite complete rewriting systems in the case where this matrix is

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n_e \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Our relations in this case are

$$\begin{aligned} x_v &= q_e x_w^{n_e} \\ p_e &= x_w. \end{aligned}$$

For each red vertex  $w$ , define the number  $n_w$  to be the sum over all the edges  $e \in E$ , with target  $\tau(e) = w$ , of the numbers  $n_e$ .

If the loop  $l$  has initial and terminal vertex  $v$ , then a generator  $t_l$  is added, along with relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_l x_v t_l^{-1} &= s_l^{k_l} x_v^{m_l} \\ t_l r_l t_l^{-1} &= s_l^{k'_l} x_v^{m'_l} \end{aligned}$$

where, again, the matrix

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} k_l & m_l \\ k'_l & m'_l \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

As before, in order to find a finite complete rewriting system, we need to assume this matrix is

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_l \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

our relations in this case are

$$\begin{aligned} t_l x_v t_l^{-1} &= s_l x_v^{m_l} \\ t_l r_l t_l^{-1} &= x_v \end{aligned}$$

Replace the generator  $p_e$  with the generator  $x_{\tau(e)}$  in the presentation above, and replace the generator  $q_e$  with the word  $x_{\iota(e)} x_{\tau(e)}^{-n_e}$ . The following is a rewriting system for the graph of circle bundles described above, with alphabet  $A = S \cup S^{-1}$ , where

$$S = \{x_v, a_{vj}, b_{vj}, r_l, s_l, t_l \mid v \in V, 1 \leq j \leq g_v, l \in L\}.$$

- inverse cancellation relators:  $\{zz^{-1} \rightarrow 1, \quad z^{-1}z \rightarrow 1 \mid z \in S\}$

- blue vertex relators:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_v^{\pm 1} a_{vi}^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow a_{vi}^{\pm 1} x_v^{\pm 1}, & x_v^{\pm 1} b_{vi}^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow b_{vi}^{\pm 1} x_v^{\pm 1}, \\ x_{\iota(k)}^{\pm 1} r_k^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow r_k^{\pm 1} x_{\iota(k)}^{\pm 1}, & x_{\iota(k)}^{\pm 1} s_k^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow s_k^{\pm 1} x_{\iota(k)}^{\pm 1} \} \end{aligned}$$

- red vertex relators:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_{wi}^{\pm 1} x_w^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow x_w^{\pm 1} a_{wi}^{\pm 1}, & b_{wi}^{\pm 1} x_w^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow x_w^{\pm 1} b_{wi}^{\pm 1}, \\ r_l^{\pm 1} x_{\iota(l)}^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow x_{\iota(l)}^{\pm 1} r_l^{\pm 1}, & s_l^{\pm 1} x_{\iota(l)}^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow x_{\iota(l)}^{\pm 1} s_l^{\pm 1} \} \end{aligned}$$

- edge relators:  $\{x_{\iota(e)}^{\pm 1} x_{\tau(e)}^{\pm 1} \rightarrow x_{\tau(e)}^{\pm 1} x_{\iota(e)}^{\pm 1}\}$
- blue amalgam relators:
 
$$\begin{aligned} &\{a_{v1} b_{v1} \rightarrow \Lambda_v \prod_{j=g_v}^2 [b_{vj}, a_{vj}] b_{v1} a_{v1}, \\ &a_{v1} b_{v1}^{-1} \rightarrow b_{v1}^{-1} \prod_{j=2}^{g_v} [a_{vj}, b_{vj}] \Lambda_v^{-1} a_{v1}, \\ &a_{v1}^{-1} \Lambda_v \prod_{j=g_v}^2 [b_{vj}, a_{vj}] b_{v1} \rightarrow b_{v1} a_{v1}^{-1}, \\ &a_{v1}^{-1} b_{v1}^{-1} \rightarrow b_{v1}^{-1} a_{v1}^{-1} \Lambda_v \prod_{j=g_v}^2 [b_{vj}, a_{vj}]\} \end{aligned}$$
- red amalgam relators:
 
$$\begin{aligned} &\{a_{w1} b_{w1} \rightarrow x_w^{-n_w} \Omega_w \prod_{j=g_w}^2 [b_{wj}, a_{wj}] b_{w1} a_{w1}, \\ &a_{w1} b_{w1}^{-1} \rightarrow x_w^{n_w} b_{w1}^{-1} \prod_{j=2}^{g_w} [a_{wj}, b_{wj}] \Omega_w^{-1} a_{w1}, \\ &a_{w1}^{-1} \Omega_w \prod_{j=g_w}^2 [b_{wj}, a_{wj}] b_{w1} \rightarrow x_w^{n_w} b_{w1} a_{w1}^{-1}, \\ &a_{w1}^{-1} b_{w1}^{-1} \rightarrow x_w^{-n_w} b_{w1}^{-1} a_{w1}^{-1} \Omega_w \prod_{j=g_w}^2 [b_{wj}, a_{wj}]\} \end{aligned}$$
- blue HNN relators:
 
$$\begin{aligned} &\{x_{\iota(k)} t_k \rightarrow t_k r_k, & x_{\iota(k)}^{-1} t_k \rightarrow t_k r_k^{-1}, \\ &r_k t_k^{-1} \rightarrow t_k^{-1} x_{\iota(k)}, & r_k^{-1} t_k^{-1} \rightarrow t_k^{-1} x_{\iota(k)}^{-1}, \\ &s_k t_k \rightarrow t_k r_k^{-m_k} x_{\iota(k)}, & s_k^{-1} t_k \rightarrow t_k r_k^{m_k} x_{\iota(k)}^{-1}, \\ &x_{\iota(k)} t_k^{-1} \rightarrow t_k^{-1} s_k x_{\iota(k)}^{m_k}, & x_{\iota(k)}^{-1} t_k^{-1} \rightarrow t_k^{-1} s_k^{-1} x_{\iota(k)}^{-m_k}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

- red HNN relators:
 
$$\begin{aligned} &\{t_l r_l \rightarrow x_{\iota(l)} t_l, & t_l r_l^{-1} \rightarrow x_{\iota(l)}^{-1} t_l, \\ &t_l^{-1} x_{\iota(l)} \rightarrow r_l t_l^{-1}, & t_l^{-1} x_{\iota(l)}^{-1} \rightarrow r_l^{-1} t_l^{-1}, \\ &t_l x_{\iota(l)} \rightarrow x_{\iota(l)}^{m_l} s_l t_l, & t_l x_{\iota(l)}^{-1} \rightarrow x_{\iota(l)}^{-m_l} s_l^{-1} t_l, \\ &t_l^{-1} s_l \rightarrow x_{\iota(l)} r_l^{-m_l} t_l^{-1}, & t_l^{-1} s_l^{-1} \rightarrow x_{\iota(l)}^{-1} r_l^{m_l} t_l^{-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

These rules range over all blue vertices  $v$ , red vertices  $w$ , and edges  $e \in E$ , as well as all loops  $k$  at blue vertices and all loops  $l$  at red vertices. The letter  $\Lambda_v$  denotes the string of letters

$$\Lambda_v = \prod_{\iota(e)=v} x_{\tau(e)} \prod_{\iota(k)=v} r_k s_k.$$

$\Lambda_v^{-1}$ , then, denotes the formal inverse of  $\Lambda_v$ , taking the letters in the string  $\Lambda_v$  in the opposite order with their signs changed. The letter  $\Omega_w$  denotes the string of letters

$$\Omega_w = \prod_{\tau(e)=w} x_{\iota(e)} \prod_{\iota(l)=w} r_l s_l,$$

and  $\Omega_w^{-1}$  is its formal inverse.

Denote this set of rules to be  $R$ ; the generators  $A$  together with our rewriting rules  $R$  give a presentation for the fundamental group of the graph of circle bundles.

**Theorem 2.** *The rewriting system  $R$  on the set  $A$  is a finite complete rewriting system for the fundamental group of the graph of circle bundles described above.*

*Proof.* In order to show that this rewriting system is complete, we will first show that a subset of the rules give rise to a complete rewriting system. Let

$$A' = A - \{t_k^{\pm 1} \mid k \in L, \iota(k) \text{ is blue}\},$$

and define  $R'$  to be the rewriting system consisting of all of the rules above except the blue HNN relators and the inverse cancellation relators involving the letters of  $A - A'$ .

In order to show that this system  $R'$  is Noetherian, we will show that there is a well-founded ordering on the words in  $A'^*$  so that whenever a word is rewritten, the resulting word is smaller with respect to this order. This ordering is a recursive path ordering

**Definition [De].** Let  $>$  be a partial well-founded ordering on a set  $A'$ . The **recursive path ordering**  $>_{rpo}$  on  $A'^*$  is defined recursively from the ordering on  $A'$  as follows. Given  $s_1, \dots, s_m, t_1, \dots, t_n \in A'$ ,  $s_1 \dots s_m >_{rpo} t_1 \dots t_n$  if and only if one of the following holds.

- 1)  $s_1 = t_1$  and  $s_2 \dots s_m >_{rpo} t_2 \dots t_n$ .
- 2)  $s_1 > t_1$  and  $s_1 \dots s_m >_{rpo} t_2 \dots t_n$ .
- 3)  $s_2 \dots s_m \geq_{rpo} t_1 \dots t_n$ .

The recursion is started from the ordering  $>$  on  $A'$  and from  $s >_{rpo} 1$  for all  $s \in A'$ , where  $1$  is the empty word in  $A'^*$ .

Recursive path ordering is a well-founded ordering which is compatible with concatenation of words [De]. The following lemma is proved by inspection of the rules in the set  $R'$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $>$  be the recursive path ordering induced by

$$t_l^{-1} > t_l > a_{w1}^{-1} > a_{w1} > b_{w1}^{-1} > b_{w1} > a_{w2}^{-1} > \dots > b_{wg_w} > x_v^{-1} > r_l^{-1} > r_l > s_l^{-1} > s_l > x_v > a_{v1}^{-1} > a_{v1} > b_{v1}^{-1} > b_{v1} > a_{v2}^{-1} > \dots > b_{vg_v} > r_k^{-1} > r_k > s_k^{-1} > s_k > x_w^{-1} > x_w,$$

where  $v$  is any blue vertex,  $w$  is any red vertex,  $k$  is any loop at a blue vertex, and  $l$  is any loop at a red vertex. Then for each of the rules  $u \rightarrow v$  in  $R'$ , we have  $u > v$ .

It follows from the Lemma that this system  $R'$  is Noetherian. In order to show that  $R'$  is also complete, it suffices to show that in the monoid presented by  $(A', R')$ , for each element  $m$  in this monoid, there is exactly one word in  $A'^*$  representing  $m$  that cannot be rewritten.

The Knuth-Bendix algorithm [KB] is a computational procedure for checking that a Noetherian rewriting system is complete. This algorithm checks for overlapping for overlapping pairs of rules either of the form  $r_1 r_2 \rightarrow s, r_2 r_3 \rightarrow t \in R'$  with  $r_2 \neq 1$ , or of the form  $r_1 r_2 r_3 \rightarrow s, r_2 \rightarrow t \in R'$ , where each  $r_i \in A'^*$ ; these are called *critical pairs*. In the first case, the word  $r_1 r_2 r_3$  rewrites to both  $sr_3$  and  $r_1 t$ ; in the second, it rewrites to both  $s$  and  $r_1 t r_3$ . If there is a word  $z \in A'^*$  so that  $sr_3$  and  $r_1 t$  both rewrite to  $z$  in a finite number of steps in the first case, or so that  $s$  and  $r_1 t r_3$  both rewrite to  $z$  in the second case, then the critical pair

is said to be *resolved*. The Knuth-Bendix algorithm checks that all of the critical pairs of the system are resolved; if this is the case, then the rewriting system is complete. We have used this procedure to check that the rewriting system  $R'$  is complete.

Since the rewriting system  $R'$  is complete, for each word  $u \in A'^*$ , there is a bound on the lengths of all sequences of rewritings  $u \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow w_n$  (where the length of this sequence is defined to be  $n$ ). The maximum of the lengths of all of the possible rewritings of  $u$  is called the *disorder* of  $u$ , denoted  $d_{R'}(u)$ . We will use these numbers in order to show that the larger rewriting system  $R$  is Noetherian.

In order to define a well-founded ordering on the set  $A^*$ , note that every word  $w \in A^*$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$w = u_1 t_1 u_2 t_2 \cdots u_j t_j u_{j+1},$$

where each  $u_i$  is a (possibly empty) word in  $A'^*$  and each  $t_i$  is a letter in  $A - A'$ . Define functions  $\psi_i$  from  $A^*$  to the nonnegative integers by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(w) &= j, \\ \psi_{2i}(w) &= d_{R'}(u_i), \text{ and} \\ \psi_{2i+1}(w) &= \text{length}(u_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $i$  ranges from 1 to  $j + 1$ , and *length* denotes the word length over  $A'$ . In order to compare words of different length, define  $\psi_i(w) = 0$  if  $i > 2j + 3$ . For two words  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in  $A^*$ , define  $w_1 > w_2$  if  $\psi_0(w_1) > \psi_0(w_2)$  or if  $\psi_i(w_1) = \psi_i(w_2)$  for all  $i < k$  and  $\psi_k(w_1) > \psi_k(w_2)$ . We claim this defines a well-founded ordering on  $A^*$ .

To check the claim, suppose  $w \in A^*$ . If a rule in  $R'$  is applied to  $w$ , the rule must be applied to one of the subwords  $u_i$ , so the value of  $\psi_{2i}$  is reduced without altering the values of  $\psi_k$  for any  $0 \leq k \leq 2i - 1$ . Suppose an inverse cancellation relator involving the letters of  $A - A'$  is applied to  $w$ ; in this case, the value of  $\psi_0$  is reduced. Finally, if a blue HNN relator is applied to  $w$ , the rule must be applied to a subword  $u_i t_i$  of  $w$ . Then the values of  $\psi_k$  for any  $0 \leq k \leq 2i - 1$  are not altered; the value of  $\psi_{2i}$  either decreases or remains unchanged; and the value of  $\psi_{2i+1}$  is reduced. So each time a word is rewritten, the resulting word is smaller with respect to this ordering. Therefore the rewriting system  $R$  is also Noetherian.

Since  $R$  is Noetherian, we have again applied the Knuth-Bendix procedure to check that the rewriting system  $R$  is complete.  $\square$



## §4. An example

Rather than give the details of the Knuth-Bendix computation, we will give a description of the normal forms that these rewriting rules produce in the case where  $M$  decomposes into two circle bundles. In this case,  $G$  is a free product with amalgamation and the graph  $\Gamma$  consists of two vertices  $v$  and  $w$  joined by a single edge. We assume that  $v$  is blue and  $w$  is red.  $M_v$  and  $M_w$  are circle bundles, each with a single torus boundary component and  $M$  is formed by gluing along these tori. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \pi_1(M_v) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g \rangle \times \langle x \rangle \\ C &= \pi_1(M_w) = \langle c_1, \dots, c_h, d_1, \dots, d_h \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \\ X &= \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so  $G = \pi_1(M) = A *_X C$ , where the gluing along  $X$  is given by

$$x = \prod_{i=1}^h [c_i, d_i] y^n$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = y.$$

The generating set for the fundamental group  $G$  of  $M$  will be  $S \cup S^{-1}$  where

$$S = \{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g, x, c_1, \dots, c_h, d_1, \dots, d_h, y\}.$$

The rewriting rules are:

- inverse cancellation relators:  $\{zz^{-1} \rightarrow 1 \quad z^{-1}z \rightarrow 1 \mid z \in S\}$
- blue vertex relators:  $\{x^{\pm 1}a_i^{\pm 1} \rightarrow a_i^{\pm 1}x^{\pm 1}, \quad x^{\pm 1}b_i^{\pm 1} \rightarrow b_i^{\pm 1}x^{\pm 1}\},$
- red vertex relators:  $\{c_i^{\pm 1}y^{\pm 1} \rightarrow y^{\pm 1}c_i^{\pm 1}, \quad d_i^{\pm 1}y^{\pm 1} \rightarrow y^{\pm 1}d_i^{\pm 1}\},$
- edge relators:  $\{x^{\pm 1}y^{\pm 1} \rightarrow y^{\pm 1}x^{\pm 1}\}$
- blue amalgam relators:
 
$$\{a_1b_1 \rightarrow yp^{-1}b_1a_1, \quad a_1b_1^{-1} \rightarrow b_1^{-1}py^{-1}a_1, \\ a_1^{-1}yp^{-1}b_1 \rightarrow b_1a_1^{-1}, \quad a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1} \rightarrow b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}yp^{-1}\},$$
- red amalgam relators:
 
$$\{c_1d_1 \rightarrow y^{-n}xq^{-1}d_1c_1, \quad c_1d_1^{-1} \rightarrow y^nd_1^{-1}qx^{-1}c_1, \\ c_1^{-1}xq^{-1}d_1 \rightarrow y^nd_1c_1^{-1}, \quad c_1^{-1}d_1^{-1} \rightarrow y^nd_1^{-1}c_1^{-1}xq^{-1}\}$$

Here we use the letter  $p$  to denote the string of letters

$$a_2b_2a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1} \dots a_gb_ga_g^{-1}b_g^{-1},$$

so  $p^{-1}$  denotes

$$b_ga_gb_g^{-1}a_g^{-1} \dots b_2a_2b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}.$$

Similarly the letter  $q$  denotes the string

$$c_2d_2c_2^{-1}d_2^{-1} \dots c_hd_hc_h^{-1}d_h^{-1},$$

and  $q^{-1}$  denotes

$$d_h c_h d_h^{-1} c_h^{-1} \dots d_2 c_2 d_2^{-1} c_2^{-1}.$$

To understand the normal forms that these produce, we consider several sublanguages.

Let  $L(A/X)$  be the set of irreducible words on  $\{a_i, b_i, y\}^{\pm 1}$  which do not end in  $y^{\pm 1}$ . Similarly, we let  $L(X \setminus C)$  be the set of irreducible words on  $\{c_i, d_i, x\}^{\pm 1}$  which do not begin in  $x^{\pm 1}$ . We take  $L(X) = \{y^m x^n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

**Lemma.**

- 1)  $L(A/X)$  bijects to  $A/X$ .
- 2)  $L(X \setminus C)$  bijects to  $X \setminus C$ .
- 3)  $L(X)$  bijects to  $X$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $L(A/X)$  surjects to  $Z/X$ , for the set of reduced words on these letters surjects to  $A$ , and deleting any trailing  $y^{\pm 1}$  does not change the coset. Thus, to prove 1) we must show that if  $u, u' \in L(A/X)$  with  $\bar{u}X = \bar{u}'X$  then  $u = u'$ . Here  $u$  and  $u'$  both evaluate into the free group on  $\{a_i, b_i\}$ , so in this case we have  $\overline{uy^m} = \bar{u}'$  for some  $m$ . Observe that no rewriting rule has a left hand side consisting of letters of  $\{a_i, b_i, y\}^{\pm 1}$  and ending in  $y^{\pm 1}$  (other than free reduction). Thus, if  $u$  is irreducible, then so is  $uy^m$  for any  $m$ . If  $m \neq 0$  we have two distinct irreducible words representing the same group element. However, it is not hard to carry out the Knuth-Bendix procedure on the set of rules evaluating into  $A$ . This ensures that for any element of  $A$  there is a unique irreducible word and thus  $u$  and  $u'$  are identical as required.

The proof of 2) is similar. Once again it is easy to see that  $L(X \setminus C)$  surjects to  $X \setminus C$ . Now we suppose that  $X\bar{w} = X\bar{w}'$  with  $w$  and  $w'$  in  $L(X \setminus C)$ . We then have  $\overline{y^m x^n w} = \bar{w}'$  for some  $m$  and  $n$ . Once again, these are both irreducible as there is no rewriting rule beginning in  $y^m x^n$  that can be applied. Appealing to the Knuth-Bendix procedure in  $C$  forces  $m = n = 0$  and thus  $w = w'$  as required.

The proof of 3) is immediate. □

Now observe that any irreducible word  $\theta$  has the form

$$\theta = u_1 v_1 w_1 \dots u_k v_k w_k$$

where

- For each  $i$ ,  $v_i = y^{m_i} x^{n_i} \in L(X)$ .
- For each  $i$ ,  $u_i$  is a maximal subword lying in  $L(A/X)$ .
- For each  $i$ ,  $w_i$  is a maximal subword lying in  $L(X \setminus C)$ .

The maximality of each  $u_i$  ensures that no  $v_i$  consists solely of  $y^{\pm 1}$ 's directly preceding  $v_{i+1}$ . Likewise the maximality of each  $w_i$  ensures that no  $v_i$  consists solely of  $x^{\pm 1}$ 's directly following  $v_{i-1}$ .

We call  $k$  the **length** of  $\theta$ . Let  $L_k$  be the set of all irreducible words of length  $k$ . For each  $g \in G$  the **AC-length** of  $g$  is the minimal  $k$  such that  $g \in (AC)^k$ . Let  $G_k$  be the set of all group elements of AC-length  $k$ . That is,  $g \in G_k$  if  $k$  is minimal such that  $g = A_1 C_1 \dots A_k C_k$  with  $A_i \in A$ ,  $C_i \in C$ .

**Claim.**  $L_k$  bijects to  $G_k$ .

*Proof.* This is an induction on  $k$ .

We check the case  $k = 1$ . Clearly  $L_1$  surjects to  $AC$ , since any element of  $A$  has the form  $u_1 v'_1$  and each element of  $C$  has the form  $v''_1 w_1$ . Multiplying these together and applying the replacement rules produces a word of the form  $u_1 v_1 w_1$  as required.

We now check that the map from  $L_1$  to  $AC$  is injective. Suppose  $g \in AC$  and  $g = \overline{u_1 v_1 w_1}$ . Notice that  $A/X$  bijects to  $AC/C$ . Thus  $g$  determines a coset  $gC$  in  $AC/C$  and thus a unique element of  $A/X$ . Consequently,  $g$  determines  $u_1$ .

On the other hand, having determined the coset representative  $u_1$  of  $gC$  in  $AC/C$ , there is a unique  $c \in C$  so that  $g = \overline{u_1}c$  and this, in turn, determines  $v_1 w_1$ .

We now assume by induction that  $L_k$  bijects to  $G_k$  and check that  $L_{k+1}$  bijects to  $G_{k+1}$ . It is easy to see that  $L_{k+1}$  surjects to  $G_{k+1}$ . For suppose  $g \in G_{k+1}$ . Then  $g$  has the form  $g_k h$  with  $g_k \in G_k$ ,  $h \in G_1$ . We represent  $g_k$  by a word of  $L_k$  and  $h$  by a word of  $L_1$ . We concatenate these words and apply our rewriting rules. The resulting word  $\theta$  lies in  $\cup_{i=1}^{k+1} L_i$ . Since  $\cup_{i=1}^k L_i$  misses  $G_{k+1}$ , it follows that  $\theta \in L_{k+1}$ .

We must show that  $L_{k+1}$  injects to  $G_{k+1}$ . Notice that  $g_k$  and  $h$  are determined by  $g$  up to an element of  $X$ . Thus, if  $g = g_k h = g'_k h'$  then there is  $z \in X$  so that  $g'_k = g_k z$  and  $h' = z^{-1} h$ . Suppose then that  $g$  is represented by two irreducible words

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= u_1 v_1 w_1 \dots u_k v_k w_k u_{k+1} v_{k+1} w_{k+1} \\ \theta' &= u'_1 v'_1 w'_1 \dots u'_k v'_k w'_k u'_{k+1} v'_{k+1} w'_{k+1}\end{aligned}$$

We take  $g_k$  and  $g'_k$  to be the group elements represented by the  $L_k$  portions of  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$ . Thus  $h$  and  $h'$  are represented by the remaining portions of these two words.

Notice that if  $w_k$  ends in  $x^{\pm 1}$  then  $u_{k+1}$  and  $v_{k+1}$  are both empty, for otherwise, the final  $x^{\pm 1}$ 's of  $w_k$  would have moved right through  $u_{k+1}$  and any  $y^{\pm 1}$ 's of  $v_{k+1}$ . This cannot happen, since each  $w_i$  was chosen to be maximal. In the same manner, we do not have  $w_k$  empty and  $v_k$  ending in  $x^{\pm 1}$ . The same argument applies to  $\theta'$ . Suppose the element  $z \in X$  is represented by the word  $y^m x^n \in L(X)$ . Then if the word  $u_1 v_1 w_1 \dots u_k v_k w_k y^m x^n$  is reduced using the rules of the rewriting system, for the resulting irreducible word  $u_1 v_1 w_1 \dots u_{k-1} v_{k-1} w_{k-1} \tilde{u}_k \tilde{v}_k \tilde{w}_k$ , we have that either  $\tilde{w}_k = w_k x^n$  or  $\tilde{w}_k = w_k$  is empty and  $\tilde{v}_k = v_k x^n$ . Now this irreducible word represents the same element of  $G_k$  as  $u'_1 v'_1 w'_1 \dots u'_k v'_k w'_k$ . Therefore our induction hypothesis says that  $\tilde{v}_k = v'_k$  and  $\tilde{w}_k = w'_k$ . So either  $\tilde{w}_k = w_k x^n = w'_k$  or else  $\tilde{w}_k$  and  $w'_k$  are both empty and  $\tilde{v}_k = v_k x^n = v'_k$ . Since  $w'_k$  cannot end with  $x^{\pm 1}$  and if  $w'_k$  is empty then  $v'_k$  cannot end with  $x^{\pm 1}$ , this shows that  $n$  must be zero. Consequently,  $u_1 v_1 w_1 \dots u_k v_k w_k$  and  $u'_1 v'_1 w'_1 \dots u'_k v'_k w'_k$  differ by at most a power of  $y$  in  $G$ ; that is, we have  $z = \overline{y^m}$ .

On the other hand, if  $u_{k+1}$  begins in  $y^{\pm 1}$ , we must have  $v_k$  and  $w_k$  empty, for any leading  $y^{\pm 1}$ 's of  $w_{k+1}$  would have had to move left through  $w_k$  and any  $x^{\pm 1}$ 's of  $v_k$ . Maximality of the words  $u_i$  does not allow this to happen. Also, we cannot have  $u_{k+1}$  is empty and  $v_{k+1}$  beginning with  $y^{\pm 1}$ . It follows by a similar argument, then, that  $u_{k+1}v_{k+1}w_{k+1}$  and  $u'_{k+1}v'_{k+1}w'_{k+1}$  differ in  $G$  by at most a power of  $x$ ; that is,  $z = \overline{x^n}$ . Since  $z$  is now both a power of  $x$  and a power of  $y$ , that power is plainly 0, so  $g_k = g'_k$  and  $h = h'$ . By induction

$$u_1v_1w_1 \dots u_kv_kw_k = u'_1v'_1w'_1 \dots u'_kv'_kw'_k$$

and

$$u_{k+1}v_{k+1}w_{k+1} = u'_{k+1}v'_{k+1}w'_{k+1},$$

so  $\theta = \theta'$  as required.  $\square$

Since  $G = \coprod G_k$  and the language of irreducible words is  $\coprod L_k$  it follows that the language of irreducible words is a normal form which bijects to  $G$ .

## §5. A question

When the gluings of the circle bundles at the vertices of  $\Gamma$  are more complicated, or when the circle bundles themselves are replaced by more general Seifert-fibered spaces, we were unable to find finite complete rewriting systems. So we end with the following.

**Question.** *Does every fundamental group of a closed 3-manifold satisfying Thurston's geometrization conjecture have a finite complete rewriting system?*

## Acknowledgement

In the course of our work we have used Rewrite Rule Laboratory [KZ], a software package for performing the Knuth-Bendix algorithm, to check completeness of our rewriting systems for many examples.

## References

- [De] N. Dershowitz, Orderings for term rewriting systems, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **17**, 279–301 (1982).
- [GS1] J.R.J. Groves and G.C. Smith, Rewriting systems and soluble groups, preprint, University of Melbourne, 1989.
- [GS2] J.R.J. Groves and G.C. Smith, Soluble groups with a finite rewriting system, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **36**, 283–288 (1993).
- [He] J. Hempel, 3-manifolds, Ann. Math. Studies 86, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1976.

- [Hr] S. Hermiller, Rewriting systems for Coxeter groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **92**, 137–148 (1994).
- [JS] W. Jaco and P.B. Shalen, Seifert fibered spaces in 3-manifolds, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 220, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1979.
- [Jo] K. Johansson, Homotopy equivalence of 3-manifolds with boundary, *Lecture Notes Math.* 761, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [KB] D.E. Knuth and P. Bendix, Simple word problems in universal algebras, in: J. Leech, ed., *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra*, (Pergamon Press, New York, 1970) 263-297.
- [KZ] D. Kapur and H. Zhang, An overview of Rewrite Rule Laboratory (RRL), in: N. Dershowitz, ed., *Rewriting Techniques and Applications*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 355, (Springer, Berlin, 1989) 559-563.
- [LC] P. Le Chenadec, Canonical forms in finitely presented algebras, Pitman, London; John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- [Sc] P. Scott, The geometries of three-manifolds, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **15**, 401–487 (1983).
- [Th] W.P. Thurston, Three dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6**, 357–381 (1982).

New Mexico State University,  
Las Cruces, NM 88003  
USA

University of Melbourne  
Parkville, Vic 3052  
Australia